Analysis

Sample size is up to 95, but that is just under 25% of all GEF courses.

Given the possible exceptions and weak coverage discussed later, it’s time to start considering formally removing some of the LEAP outcomes from the GEF, specifically 2F, 3C, and 4A. It would reduce the number of total outcomes from 11 to 8 which would improve coverage somewhat; that will be discussed later.
Analysis

This is how many GEF areas each SLO has thus far been represented in. This is where the weak coverage comes in. The more areas with an SLO, the easier it is to find ways of being undercovered. Currently, if we exclude 2F, 3C, and 4A from needing covered, we have the following: 2 paths where only 3 outcomes are covered (27 or 37% coverage), 3 paths where 4 are covered (36 and 50%), 2 paths where 5 are covered (45 and 62%).

This is the best case scenario right now. As more courses come through, the possible exceptions (ways of proceeding through the GEF without full coverage) will only increase.

We can’t have a program that doesn’t guarantee all of its outcomes so we’ll have to address how else to guarantee coverage. My initial suggestions are:

1. Cut outcomes 2F, 3C, and 4A immediately. Consider additional outcome cuts.
2. Consider condensing each GEF area to one or two LEAP outcomes. This would require some logistical issues but would reduce the potential for poor coverage.
Analysis
This is more of a progress report for each GEF area. Area 1 is English and only needs 103 to be complete, so it’s not as exciting as it looks. Area 2 was the first area to have its workshops and it reflects that with more than 40% of its course through. Area 4 had its workshops this month and, while lagging behind Area 2, is well ahead of everyone else. As each Area comes through in fall, I expect this will more or less level out. We’ll keep an eye on it to make sure we don’t lose an entire Area for whatever reason.
Analysis

This gives us an idea of where some of the potential rearranging with outcomes to areas could come but also the difficulty. Area 4, in particular, is incredibly diverse with no clear single or even double outcomes that are clear favorites. Area 2 has three. Hopefully, as Area 6 and 7 come through they’ll move away from 2C as a favorite; it’s already guaranteed in Area 1.