## Minutes Faculty Senate Faculty Welfare Committee Friday, September 16, 2022, 8:00 a.m.

Faculty Welfare Committee Chair Kimberly Kelly called the monthly meeting to order at 8:03 a.m. Members and guests participated via teleconference.

**Members** Present

| Kim Kelly           | Daniel Elswick | Tom Zeni          |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Stan Cohen          | Emily Murphy   | TS Blake          |
| Asad Davari         | Jeremy Roberts | Yogendra Panta    |
| Cerasela Zoica Dinu | Liv Miller     | Stephanie Lorenze |
| Daniel Brewster     | Scott Crichlow | Corey Hunt        |

- 1. No minutes were available to approve from the last meeting of the committee.
- 2. Chair Kelly introduced concerns regarding the setup of the faculty senate meeting and comments submitted by faculty members. Discussion with members included the following:
  - a. Seating proximity was too close, making attendees feel uncomfortable
  - b. Should the meeting be broadcast for non-senate audience members?
  - c. Zoom may assist with representation of individuals that are normally unable to attend physical meetings
  - d. Is the need to have physical meetings in the bylaws? Faculty feedback seems to indicate that a hybrid option is preferred
  - e. Running a hybrid meeting is difficult and there is an impression online individuals participate less

Committee resolved to request the Faculty Senate meetings be presented as a true hybrid format with the Zoom meeting information provided, with encouragement for individuals to attend in-person.

- 3. Chair Kelly introduced a topic brought to her attention regarding a faculty member that did not receive a raise with no feedback or explanation as to the reason.
  - a. Individual was highly compensated, but that is not justification for not receiving a cost-ofliving raise
  - b. No communication about the denial of a raise
  - c. Lack of communication is a root cause of confusion and dissatisfaction
  - d. Merit and performance do not always seem to be related to faculty raises
  - e. There is also no explanation for how much of a raise is awarded
  - f. Inconsistency between deans, schools/colleges
  - g. Campaign to increase faculty awareness to fair compensation and ratio related to market value
  - h. Compensation ratio: institution pay versus market average
  - i. WVU's market data is often not current the present climate, especially in relation to the pandemic, makes that data change frequently

- j. Faculty need to be educated on compensation ratios if they are to be presented with that information
- k. Every college treats funding for raises differently it is not centralized
- I. Deans may not have the right background to communicate compensation effectively, or to appropriately distribute funding for raises
- 4. Chair Kelly introduced the topic of new promotion and tenure guidelines for comment
  - a. Suggestion of grandfathering faculty into the new program, as they may be mid-cycle regarding how their have developed at P&T profile
  - b. Faculty are uncomfortable going into town hall meetings without knowing what to expect
    - i. Request for the slides to be shared beforehand
- 5. New business
  - a. Request for faculty wellness to be a conversation topic at a future meeting
    - i. If faculty use of the Rec Center was free, it may be a simple way of increasing overall faculty satisfaction and well-being
    - ii. There are resources already available that could be made accessible to faculty to improve campus morale

No further new business.

Chair Kelly adjourned the meeting at 8:52 a.m. to reconvene October 18, 2022, at 9:00 a.m.

Corey Hunt Faculty Senate Office Administrator